S.B.Cr.Misc. Bail App. No. 4829/2006
(Bhanwar Singh Vs. State of Rajasthan)

Date of order : 27/10/2006

HON'BLE MR.H.R.PANWAR, J.

Mr. Ramesh Purohit for the petitioner.
Mr. Vishnu Kachhawaha, public prosecutor.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and public prosecutor for the State. Perused the order impugned and challan papers. I have also carefully gone through the statement of prosecutrix.

From the statement of prosecutrix, it appears that the prosecutrix has traveled in bus and train with the petitioner at various places namely Nathdwara, Udaipur, Gujarat, Ahmedabad and Rajkot etc. and thereafter they have been working together in a factory and residing there in a room for a period of about four months.

It is contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that the prosecutrix was a consenting party.

Be that as it may, keeping in view the statement of prosecutrix, looking to the facts and circumstances of the case and having considered the oral arguments advanced by both the parties, I think it just and proper to enlarge the accused petitioner on bail.

Accordingly, this bail application filed under Sec. 439 Cr.P.C. is allowed and it is directed that petitioner Bhanwar Singh S/o Poonam

Singh be released on bail in FIR No.40/06 P.S. Bijoliya provided he executes a personal bond for a sum of Rs.20,000/- with two sound and solvent sureties in the sum of Rs. 10,000/- each to the satisfaction of learned trial court for his appearance before that court on each and every date of hearing and whenever called upon to do so till the completion of the trial.

(H.R.PANWAR), J.

RP